

Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary

Application No.

10/672,455

Applicant(s)

KAUFOLD ET AL.

Examiner

Jason L. Savage

Art Unit

1775

All Participants:

(1) Jason L. Savage.

(2) Gary Topolosky.

Status of Application: Amended

(3) _____

(4) _____

Date of Interview: 10 December 2004

Time: _____

Type of Interview:

- ☒ Telephonic
☐ Video Conference
☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☒ No

If Yes, provide a brief description:

Part I.

Rejection(s) discussed:

N/A

Claims discussed:

44

Prior art documents discussed:

N/A

Part II.

SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:

See Continuation Sheet

Part III.

- ☒ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.
- ☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.


(Examiner/SPE Signature)

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was discussed: Called Applicant to determine the status of original claim 44 which was not listed as having been canceled, amended or otherwise in the amendment filed 12-7-04. Applicant indicated that the non-listing of claim 44 was an inadvertent error and gave the Examiner permission to add the claim by Examiner's Amendment.